



ESG Risk Rating Portfolio Report

Portfolio Information		ESG Risk Rating Coverage	
Name	Number of Constituents	By Portfolio Weight	By Number of Constituents
Portfolio BEL ESG	20	 100%	20
Benchmark BEL 20	20	 94%	19

Overview

Sustainalytics' ESG Risk Ratings are designed to help investors identify and understand financially material ESG risks at the security and portfolio level and how they might affect the long-term performance for equity and fixed income investments. The ESG Risk Ratings combined with qualitative analyses, provide a differentiated risk signal and deeper insights into the materiality of certain ESG issues for a company and how effectively the company is managing these issues.

Portfolio

Benchmark



ESG Risk Rating (Unmanaged Risk)

Page 3-4

The ESG Risk Rating measures the degree to which a company's economic value is at risk driven by ESG factors, as assessed through Sustainalytics' calculation of the company's unmanaged ESG risks.

The portfolio's ESG risk is considered **Low**, and is 30% lower than the benchmark.

Overall Risk Rating
Score

Category

11.3

Low

16.1

Low



Exposure

Page 5

Overall, the portfolio's exposure to ESG issues is 15% lower than the benchmark.

Exposure
Score

Category

32.9

Low

38.6

Medium



Management

Page 6

Overall, the portfolio's management of ESG issues is 8% stronger than the benchmark.

Management
Score

Category

67.1

Strong

62.0

Strong

ESG Risk Rating

Overall Risk Rating (Unmanaged Risk)

The ESG Risk Rating measures the degree to which a company's economic value is at risk driven by ESG factors, as assessed through Sustainalytics' calculation of the company's unmanaged ESG risks. Companies are placed into one of five risk categories (negligible, low, medium, high, severe). These risk categories are absolute, meaning that a "high risk" assessment reflects a comparable degree of unmanaged ESG risk across the research universe, whether it refers to an agriculture company, a utility or any other type of company. One point of risk is the same, no matter which company or which issue it applies to, and points of risk add up across issues to create overall scores. Companies with lower Risk Ratings scores have lower ESG risk.

- Portfolio
- Benchmark
- Divergence

The portfolio's ESG risk is considered **Low**, and is 30% lower than the benchmark.

Overall Risk Rating

Score	Category
11.3	Low
16.1	Low

ESG Risk Categories

Negligible: 0 - 10
Negligible risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors


Low: 10 - 20
Low risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Medium: 20 - 30
Medium risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

High: 30 - 40
High risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Severe: > 40 - 100
Severe risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

ESG Risk Category by aggregate portfolio weight %



Average Score		ESG Risk Rating			
Arithmetic	Weighted	Median	Min.	Max.	Std. Dev.
11.3	11.3	10.6	6.8	18.3	3.0
16.0	16.1	13.7	6.8	30.8	7.5
-4.7	-4.8	-3.0	0.0	-12.6	-4.5

Average Percentile		ESG Risk Rating Percentile*			
Arithmetic	Weighted	Median	Min.	Max.	Std. Dev.
7.0	7.0	4.2	1.0	24.0	6.0
22.0	22.0	9.5	1.0	74.0	24.0
-15.0	-15.0	-5.3	0.0	-50.0	-18.0

*Percentiles are based on positioning within the full research universe. A low percentile means low risk.

Highest Risk Portfolio Constituents

Identifies the 10 constituents with the highest ESG Risk score in the portfolio

Company Name	Sector	ESG Risk				Weight		
		Score	Category	Exposure	Mgmt	Port	Bench	Active
Colruyt Group NV	Consumer Staples	18.3	Low	34.6	50.2	3.0%	0.0%	3.0%
Proximus SA	Telecommunication Services	17.6	Low	43.3	66.1	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%
ageas SA/NV	Financials	15.2	Low	44.8	69.5	11.9%	6.7%	5.3%
Elia Group SA/NV	Utilities	13.8	Low	41.3	70.6	5.1%	2.8%	2.3%
UCB SA	Healthcare	13.7	Low	39.1	67.9	12.1%	15.6%	-3.5%
VGP NV	Real Estate	11.9	Low	28.6	59.2	2.5%	0.0%	2.5%
Azelis Group NV	Industrials	11.6	Low	32.8	70.3	3.6%	2.0%	1.6%
MONTEA NV	Real Estate	11.0	Low	24.6	55.7	2.5%	0.0%	2.5%
Shurgard Self Storage Ltd.	Real Estate	10.8	Low	24.9	57.9	1.9%	0.0%	1.9%
Xior Student Housing NV	Real Estate	10.7	Low	29.4	64.4	1.6%	0.0%	1.6%

Lowest Risk Portfolio Constituents

Identifies the 10 constituents with the lowest ESG Risk score in the portfolio

Company Name	Sector	ESG Risk				Weight		
		Score	Category	Exposure	Mgmt	Port	Bench	Active
Ackermans & van Haaren NV	Financials	6.8	Negligible	25.0	74.2	6.2%	3.4%	2.8%
Barco NV	Information Technology	7.9	Negligible	22.9	67.6	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%
Groupe Bruxelles Lambert SA	Financials	8.6	Negligible	24.8	66.8	9.5%	5.2%	4.2%
KBC Group NV	Financials	8.8	Negligible	41.7	82.7	11.9%	11.6%	0.4%
Aedifica SA	Real Estate	9.3	Negligible	26.3	65.8	4.7%	2.6%	2.1%
Fagron NV	Healthcare	9.5	Negligible	24.8	64.9	2.1%	0.0%	2.1%
Gimv NV	Financials	10.1	Low	24.1	59.5	1.5%	0.0%	1.5%
Warehouses De Pauw SA	Real Estate	10.3	Low	26.0	61.5	7.8%	4.3%	3.5%
Cofinimmo SA	Real Estate	10.5	Low	28.0	63.2	3.7%	2.0%	1.6%
Sofina SA	Financials	10.6	Low	20.2	48.7	5.2%	2.8%	2.3%

ESG Risk Exposure

Exposure considers a company's sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks. Lower exposure scores indicate that the constituent companies face less ESG risk.

Exposure

Score	Category
32.9	Low
38.6	Low

Portfolio
Benchmark
Divergence

Distribution of aggregated portfolio weight by Exposure categories

ESG Risk Categories

Low: 0 - 35


Low exposure to material ESG issues

Medium: 35 - 55

Medium exposure to material ESG issues

High: 55 - 100

High exposure to material ESG issues



Average Exposure		Exposure Score			
Arithmetic	Weighted	Median	Min.	Max.	Std. Dev.
30.3	32.9	27.1	20.2	44.8	7.7
37.3	38.6	39.1	20.2	56.2	11.1
-6.9	-5.7	-12.0	0.0	-11.5	-3.4

Companies with the Highest Exposure in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Exposure Score	Exposure Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
ageas SA/NV	Financials	44.8	Medium	11.9%	6.7%	5.3%
Proximus SA	Telecommunication Services	43.3	Medium	2.0%	0.0%	2.0%
KBC Group NV	Financials	41.7	Medium	11.9%	11.6%	0.4%

Companies with the Lowest Exposure in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Exposure Score	Exposure Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
Sofina SA	Financials	20.2	Low	5.2%	2.8%	2.3%
Barco NV	Information Technology	22.9	Low	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%
Gimv NV	Financials	24.1	Low	1.5%	0.0%	1.5%



ESG Risk Management


Management evaluates a company's performance on managing its exposure to ESG issues. Higher management scores indicate the constituent companies have stronger management of their exposure.

Management

Score	Category
67.1	Strong
62.0	Strong

Portfolio
Benchmark
Divergence

Distribution of aggregated portfolio weight by Management categories



ESG Risk Categories

Strong: 100 - 50

Strong management of material issues

Average: 50 - 25

Average management of material issues

Weak: 25 - 0

Weak management of material issues

Average Management		Management Score			
Arithmetic	Weighted	Median	Min.	Max.	Std. Dev.
64.3	67.1	65.4	48.7	82.7	7.9
60.8	62.0	65.8	32.7	82.7	13.2
3.6	5.1	-0.5	15.9	0.0	-5.3

Companies with the Weakest Management in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Management Score	Management Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
Sofina SA	Financials	48.7	Average	5.2%	2.8%	2.3%
Colruyt Group NV	Consumer Staples	50.2	Strong	3.0%	0.0%	3.0%
MONTEA NV	Real Estate	55.7	Strong	2.5%	0.0%	2.5%

Companies with the Strongest Management in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Management Score	Management Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
KBC Group NV	Financials	82.7	Strong	11.9%	11.6%	0.4%
Ackermans & van Haaren NV	Financials	74.2	Strong	6.2%	3.4%	2.8%
Elia Group SA/NV	Utilities	70.6	Strong	5.1%	2.8%	2.3%

Sector ESG Risk

Looks at the active weight and ESG Risk Rating score across sectors in the Portfolio. Lower scores equal lower risk.

Sector	ESG Risk			Weight		Exposure		Management	
	Portfolio Contribution*	Port	Bench	Port	Bench	Port	Bench	Port	Bench
Consumer Staples	4.8%	0.5	3.6	3.0%	15.0%	1.0	7.1	1.5	8.4
Financials	42.3%	4.8	3.5	46.0%	34.0%	15.6	11.6	32.5	24.1
Healthcare	16.3%	1.8	6.0	14.0%	31.0%	5.2	12.2	9.6	16.4
Industrials	3.7%	0.4	0.2	4.0%	2.0%	1.2	0.7	2.5	1.4
Information Technology	0.8%	0.1	0.4	1.0%	2.0%	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.7
Materials	0.0%	0.0	1.1	0.0%	5.0%	0.0	2.7	0.0	3.3
Real Estate	22.7%	2.6	0.9	25.0%	9.0%	6.6	2.4	15.3	5.7
Telecommunication Services	3.1%	0.4	0.0	2.0%	0.0%	0.9	0.0	1.3	0.0
Utilities	6.2%	0.7	0.4	5.0%	3.0%	2.1	1.2	3.6	2.0

*Sector contribution to overall ESG Risk calculated as the weighted sum of sector risk scores divided by the total portfolio weighted risk score.

Regional ESG Risk

Looks at the active weight and ESG Risk Rating score across regions in the Portfolio. Lower scores equal lower risk.

Region	ESG Risk			Exposure		Management	
	Portfolio Contribution	Portfolio	Benchmark	Port	Bench	Port	Bench
Europe	100.0%	11.3	16.1	32.9	38.6	67.1	62.0

Glossary of Terms

ESG Risk Category

Companies' ESG Risk Rating scores are assigned to five ESG risk categories in the ESG Risk Rating. Note that because ESG risks materialize at an unknown time in the future and depend on a variety of unpredictable conditions, no predictions on financial or share price impacts, or on the time horizon of such impacts, are intended or implied by these risk categories.

ESG Risk Rating Score (Unmanaged Risk Score)

The company's final score in the ESG Risk Rating; it applies the concept of risk decomposition to derive the level of unmanaged risk for a company.

Event Category

Sustainalytics categorizes events that have resulted in negative ESG impacts into five event categories: Category 1 (low impact); Category 2 (moderate impact); Category 3 (significant impact); Category 4 (high impact); and Category 5 (severe impact).

Event Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a potential failure of management through involvement in controversies.

Exposure

A company's sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks.

Management

A company's handling of ESG risks.

Management Gap

Refers to the difference between what a company has managed and what a company could possibly manage. It indicates how far the company's performance is from best practice.

Material ESG Issue

A core building block of the ESG Risk Rating. An ESG issue is considered to be material within the rating if it is likely to have a significant effect on the enterprise value of a typical company within a given subindustry.

Unmanaged Risk

Material ESG risk that has not been managed by a company, and includes two types of risk: unmanageable risk, as well as risks that could be managed by a company through suitable initiatives but which may not yet be managed.

Weighted Scores

Sustainalytics normalizes the security weights of the matched security results within the selected portfolio and benchmark to equal 100% of the total portfolio weight, by evenly redistributing unmatched security weights. If there are no security weights available, Sustainalytics will assign equal weights to all securities. Weighted Scores are calculated by aggregating normalized security weighted scores.

Notice and Disclaimer

Sustainalytics is not responsible for information supplied by you, regardless if derived from you or from a third party, provided for the purpose of this report and/or included herein and you bear all risks associated with the use and (re)distribution of such information. Moreover, Sustainalytics will not assume any responsibility for the reliability, completeness or accuracy of such information and makes no representation or warranty as to any of the information, including, without limitation, any representation or warranty that the information or any portion of it is accurate or complete. Sustainalytics shall have no liability hereunder for any use of the information provided by you. You agree and acknowledge that it is your responsibility to obtain all relevant licenses for use, reproduction, extraction and redistribution of any information made available to Sustainalytics.

Copyright ©2024 Sustainalytics, a Morningstar company. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies, data and opinions contained or reflected herein are proprietary of Sustainalytics and/or content providers, intended for internal, non-commercial use and may not be copied, distributed or used in any other way, including via citation, unless otherwise explicitly agreed in writing. They are not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by India-based clients or users and their distribution to Indian resident individuals or entities is not permitted.

They are provided for informational purposes only and (1) do not constitute an endorsement of any product, project, investment strategy or consideration of any particular environmental, social or governance related issues as part of any investment strategy; (2) do not constitute investment advice, nor represent an expert opinion or negative assurance letter; (3) are not part of any offering and do not constitute an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project or make any kind of business transactions; (4) are not an assessment of the issuer's economic performance, financial obligations nor of its creditworthiness; (5) are not a substitute for professional advice; (6) past performance is no guarantee of future results; (7) have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, any relevant regulatory bodies.

These are based on information made available by third parties, subject to continuous change and therefore are not warranted as to their merchantability, completeness, accuracy, up-to-datedness or fitness for a particular purpose. The information and data are provided "as is" and reflects Sustainalytics' opinion at the date of its elaboration and publication.

Neither Sustainalytics/Morningstar nor their content providers accept any liability from the use of the information, data or opinions contained herein or for actions of third parties in respect to this information, in any manner whatsoever, except where explicitly required by law.

Any reference to content providers' names is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner. A list of our content providers and their respective terms of use is available on our website. For more information visit <http://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers>.

Sustainalytics may receive compensation for its ratings, opinions and other deliverables, from, among others, issuers, insurers, guarantors and/or underwriters of debt securities, or investors, via different business units. Sustainalytics believes it has put in place appropriate measures designed to safeguard the objectivity and independence of its opinions. For more information visit [Governance Documents](#) or contact compliance@sustainalytics.com.