CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

Objective

The CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW tracks the performance of the constituents of the CAC® Large 60 index, ranked and weighted by their Responsible Investment score (provided by Mirova/ISS-oekom). The weights of the constituents are reset at the quarterly rebalances. The index serves as an underlying for structured products, funds and exchange traded funds. The index rules are available on our website. Euronext acts as Supervisor. All our rulebooks can be found at:
https://live.euronext.com/en/products-indices/index-rules.

This ESG Report provides information on ESG factors aggregated at the index level within the framework of the Benchmark Regulation (BMR), the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and the European Union Taxonomy Regulation. The metrics on ESG factors in this ESG Report are provided by ISS ESG and are described at:
https://live.euronext.com/en/products/indices/rules-esg-report-disclosures-definitions.

ESG Performance

Weighted average ESG rating



ESG score distribution



Coverage ISS ESG rating Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 99.95%

Environmental



Social



Governance



ISS ESG Corporate Rating provides highly relevant, material and forward-looking environmental, social and governance (ESG) data and performance assessments. The overall analysis is graded on a twelve-point scale from 4(A+) where the company shows excellent performance to 1(D-) where the company shows poor performance or fails to demonstrate any commitment to appropriately address the topic. The rating grasps ESG risks, opportunities and impact along the entire corporate value chain, including a dedicated SDG-based component measuring positive and negative impact of products and services as well as integrating the existence and severity of controversies and violations of global norms in the topic-specific and overall assessment. Drawing on a pool of more than 700 indicators developed in house with clearly defined performance expectations and scoring models, ISS ESG sector specialists along with a dedicated in-house team of methodology experts select a set of about 100 criteria for each industry. Performance data on more than 30 ESG topics available across all industries and based on in-depth analysis of various factors :

ENVIRONMENT

- Climate Change Strategy
- Eco-Efficiency
- Energy Management
- Environmental Impact of Product Portfolio
- Environmental Management
- Water Risk and Impact
- And more

SOCIAL

- Equal Opportunities
- Freedom of Association
- Health and Safety
- Human Rights
- Product Responsibility
- Social Impact of Product Portfolio
- Supply Chain Management
- Taxes
- And more

GOVERNANCE

- Business Ethics
- Compliance
- Independence of the Board
- Voting Rights
- Shareholder Participation
- Remuneration
- And more

CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

EURONEXT Indices

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

Environmental Indicators

ISS ESG Environmental rating provides a numeric score from 1 (D-), being poor, to 4 (A+), being high, linked to the rated entity's Environmental rating. The Environmental rating is based on an assessment of performance across key environmental metrics including energy management, water risk and impact, waste management, ecoefficiency, sector specific environmental aspects along the value chain, and other issues.

Main factors taken into account :

- Climate Change Strategy
- Eco-Efficiency
- Energy Management
- Environmental Impact of Product Portfolio
- Environmental Management
- Water Risk and Impact

Environmental score

Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 99.95%

Environmental score distribution



GHG Emissions

- Carbon footprint: aggregated emissions (tCO2e) from Scopes 1, 2, and 3 per million EUR of Enterprise Value
- GHG intensity: aggregated emissions (tCO2e) from Scopes 1, 2, and 3 per million EUR of revenue

Carbon footprint



Index Top Sectors Contributions to Carbon Footprint (%)



				Carbon footprint tCO2e/Enterprise Value				GHG intensity tCO2e/Revenue €		
	Coverage (%) Scope 1,2,3	Estimated (%)	Estimated Trust Score	Scope 1	Scope 2	Scope 3	Total Scope 1,2,3	Coverage (%) Scope 1,2,3	Total Scope 1,2	Total Scope 1,2,3
Index	**100.0%**	**0.0%**	**-**	**92.9**	**23.2**	**813.8**	**929.9**	**100.0%**	**151.3**	**1,196.9**
Benchmark	**100.0%**	**0.8%**	**0.80**	**84.9**	**21.5**	**844.8**	**951.2**	**100.0%**	**161.8**	**1,475.6**
Net Performance	0.00 p	0.76 p	-	-9.37%	-8.06%	3.67%	2.24%	0.00 p	6.49%	18.89%

Based on ISS ESG data, the carbon footprint scope 1,2,3 of the index is 2.24% lower than the benchmark and the GHG intensity scope 1,2,3 of the index is 18.89% lower than the benchmark. The percentage of GHG emissions reported versus estimated on GHG intensity scope 1 + 2 is 100.00% of the total weight of the index, 99.24% for the benchmark.

CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

EURONEXT Indices

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

High Climate Impact Sectors Exposure (NACE)



NACE Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%

Energy Consumption per High Climate Impact Sectors

Energy Consumption Coverage Index/Benchmark: 25.83% / 24.98%

Fossil Fuel-Based Sectors Exposure (NACE)



NACE Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%

	Index		Benchmark	
	Impact	Coverage	Impact	Coverage
Exposure to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector	1.5%	92.9%	1.4%	91.5%
Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	3.4%	100.0%	10.4%	100.0%
Exposure to climate-related physical risks	68.1	100.0%	60.7	99.7%
Share of energy sources used – Coal, nuclear and unclear energy sources	52.2%	40.4%	50.8%	28.5%
Share of energy sources used – Natural gas, waste-to-energy and biomass	24.7%	32.5%	22.1%	25.2%
Share of non-renewable energy consumption	74.6%	38.2%	70.8%	28.0%
Share of non-renewable energy production	0.01%	96.1%	0.05%	93.8%
Energy consumption intensity	0.88	25.8%	1.62	25.0%
Emissions to water	0.07	10.4%	0.04	14.4%
Hazardous waste ratio	3.62	10.3%	0.78	7.6%
Emissions of air pollutants	0.62	5.1%	0.57	6.0%
Water usage and recycling	565	5.3%	311,505	3.0%
Exposure to companies without water management policies	9.8%	71.5%	9.7%	81.0%
Non-recycled waste ratio	15.00	30.2%	10.99	30.6%
Natural species and protected areas	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

Social Indicators

ISS ESG Social rating provides a numeric score from 1 (D-), being poor, to 4 (A+), being high, linked to the rated entity's Social rating. The Social rating is based on an assessment of performance across key social sustainability metrics, including staff and suppliers, health and safety, human rights, sector specific product responsibility, and other issues.

Main factors taken into account :

- Equal Opportunities
- Freedom of Association
- Health and Safety
- Human Rights
- Product Responsibility
- Social Impact of Product
- Supply Chain Management
- Taxes

Social score

Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 99.95%

Social score distribution



0 **Companies are in Social Violations**
0 in benchmark
Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 0.0% (76.5%) / 0.0% (87.3%)

1 **Company is in violation with UNGC or OECD guidelines**
1 in benchmark
Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 0.8% (100.0%) / 7.2% (100.0%)

2 **Companies lack of human rights policy**
10 in benchmark
Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 3.2% (100.0%) / 1.0% (99.9%)

2 **Companies lack of a supplier code of conduct**
16 in benchmark
Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 1.7% (100.0%) / 1.5% (99.9%)

0 **Companies have insufficient whistleblower protection**
1 in benchmark
Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 0.0% (96.6%) / 0.0% (98.6%)

1 **Company lacks of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UNGC and OECD guidelines**
9 in benchmark
Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 0.8% (100.0%) / 0.9% (99.9%)

	Index		Benchmark	
	Impact	Coverage	Impact	Coverage
Controversial weapons sector exposure	4.3%	100.0%	9.2%	100.0%
Tobacco involvement exposure	0.1%	100.0%	0.2%	100.0%
Gender pay gap	4.3%	7.4%	4.8%	11.0%
Ratio of accidents, injuries, fatalities	0.62	51.3%	0.41	45.8%
Exposure to companies without workplace accident prevention policies	15.9%	96.6%	7.8%	98.6%
Exposure to companies with a lack of human rights due diligence procedures	54.8%	100.0%	52.8%	99.7%
Ratio of female to male board members	82.9%	100.0%	81.4%	100.0%

CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

Governance Indicators

ISS ESG Governance rating provides a numeric score from 1 (D-) to 4 (A+) linked to the rated entity's Governance rating. The Governance rating is based on an assessment of performance across key governance metrics, including business ethics, corporate governance, and other issues.

Main factors taken into account :

- Business Ethics
- Compliance
- Independence of the Board
- Voting Rights
- Shareholder Participation
- Remuneration

Governance score

Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 99.95%

Governance score distribution



58% **Board members are independent**
54% in benchmark
Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 99.97%

0 **Companies lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies**
0 in benchmark
Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 100.00%

45% **Female board members**
45% in benchmark
Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 99.97%

6 **Companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives**
37 in benchmark
Ag. Weight & Coverage Index/Bench: 8.4% (100.0%) / 15.7% (100.0%)

0% **Operate without due diligence policies (ILO 1-8)**
0% in benchmark
Coverage Index/Benchmark: 100.00% / 99.95%

	Index		Benchmark	
Total Monetary Losses from Corruption	Impact	Coverage	Impact	Coverage
This factor identifies if a company discloses the monetary value of losses incurred through legal proceedings related to corruption in USD.	0	1.2%	0	0.5%

CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

EURONEXT Indices

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

Principal Adverse Sustainability Impacts

	Mandatory Indicators	Index			Benchmark		
		Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]	Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]
1	GHG emissions Scope 1	92.86	84.67	100.0%	84.91	83.35	100.0%
	GHG emissions Scope 2	23.22	19.42	100.0%	21.49	18.27	100.0%
	GHG emissions Scope 3	813.8	719.80	100.0%	844.8	744.8	100.0%
	Total GHG emissions	929.9	823.9	100.0%	951.2	846.4	100.0%
2	Carbon footprint	929.9	823.89	100.0%	951.2	846.4	100.0%
3	Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity	1196.9	1325.7	100.0%	1475.6	1548.9	100.0%
4	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	3.4%	1.7%	100.0%	10.4%	9.0%	100.0%
5	Share of energy sources used – Coal, nuclear and unclear energy sources	52.2%	53.2%	40.4%	50.8%	43.2%	28.5%
	Share of energy sources used – Natural gas, waste-to-energy and biomass	24.7%	28.5%	32.5%	22.1%	38.0%	25.2%
	Share of non-renewable energy consumption	74.6%	76.9%	38.2%	70.8%	77.9%	28.0%
	Share of non-renewable energy production	1.1%	1.2%	96.1%	4.8%	6.6%	93.8%
6	Energy consumption intensity	0.88	0.71	25.8%	1.62	1.12	25.0%
7	Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
8	Emissions to water	0.07	0.05	10.4%	0.04	0.03	14.4%
9	Hazardous waste ratio	3.62	2.39	10.3%	0.78	0.80	7.6%
10	Count of companies in violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	1	0	100.0%	1	0	100.0%
11	Count of companies with lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	1	1	100.0%	9	11	99.9%
12	Gender pay gap	4.3%	-0.8%	7.4%	4.8%	0.5%	11.0%
14	Controversial weapons sector exposure	4.3%	2.6%	100.0%	9.2%	7.4%	100.0%

Impact [year n-1] provide factors based on the current composition mapped with year n-1 ISS ESG data.

CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

EURONEXT Indices

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

Principal Adverse Sustainability Impacts

	Additional Indicators	Index			Benchmark		
		Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]	Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Coverage [year n]
1	Emissions of inorganic pollutants	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
2	Emissions of air pollutants	0.62	0.28	5.1%	0.57	0.07	6.0%
3	Emissions of ozone depletion substances	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
4	Investing in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives	8.4%	14.2%	100.0%	15.7%	22.9%	100.0%
5	Breakdown of energy consumption by type of non-renewable sources of energy	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
6	Water usage and recycling	565	33,058	5.3%	311,505	167,393	3.0%
7	Investments in companies without water management policies	9.8%	10.8%	71.5%	9.7%	13.0%	81.0%
8	Exposure to areas of high water stress	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
9	Investments in companies producing chemicals	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
10	Land degradation, desertification, soil sealing	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
11	Investments in companies without sustainable land/agriculture practices	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
12	Investments in companies without sustainable oceans/seas practices	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
13	Non-recycled waste ratio	15.00	17.26	30.2%	10.99	11.21	30.6%
14	Natural species and protected areas	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
15	Deforestation	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
16	Share of securities not certified as green under a future EU legal act setting up an EU Green Bond Standard	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
17	Investments in companies without workplace accident prevention policies	15.9%	16.2%	96.6%	7.8%	8.2%	98.6%
18	Rate of accidents	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
19	Number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
20	Lack of a supplier code of conduct	1.7%	1.7%	100.0%	1.5%	1.7%	99.9%
21	Lack of grievance / complaints handling mechanism related to employee matters	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
22	Insufficient whistleblower protection	0.0%	0.0%	96.6%	0.0%	0.0%	98.6%
23	Incidents of discrimination	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
24	Excessive CEO pay ratio	-	-	0.0%	-	-	0.0%
25	Lack of a human rights policy	3.2%	3.2%	100.0%	1.0%	1.0%	99.9%
26	Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to companies without due diligence policies on issues addressed by the fundamental International Labor Organisation Conventions 1 to 8	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.1%	0.1%	99.9%
27	Lack of processes and measures for preventing trafficking in human beings	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
28	Operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of child labour	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
29	Operations and suppliers at significant risk of incidents of forced or compulsory labour	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
30	Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues and incidents	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
31	Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A
32	Cases of insufficient action taken to address breaches of standards of anti-corruption and antibribery	0	0	100.0%	0	0	100.0%
33	Numbers of convictions and amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws	N/A	-	N/A	N/A	-	N/A

Impact [year n-1] provide factors based on the current composition mapped with year n-1 ISS ESG data.

CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

EURONEXT Indices

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

EU Taxonomy Alignment

The EU Taxonomy Alignment report evaluates a portfolio's levels of alignment against the six climate and environmental related objectives set out by the regulatory text, by determining investee companies' involvement in Taxonomy eligible economic activities, quantifying the respective revenues from these activities, and subsequently applying the three technical assessment steps of Substantial Contribution, Do No Significant Harm, and Minimum Social Safeguards. Currently, only the first two objectives are covered: Climate Change Mitigation and Climate Change Adaptation.

All Objectives EU Taxonomy Alignment



Activity Type		Eligible Revenue	Not Eligible Revenue	Aligned Revenue	Aligned Revenue (Y-1)	Likely Aligned Revenue	Potentially Aligned Revenue	Likely Not Aligned Revenue	Not Aligned Revenue	Alignment Not Collected
Overall	**Index**	**24.82%**	**51.67%**	**1.83%**	**-**	**0.00%**	**0.00%**	**0.00%**	**0.00%**	**22.99%**
	Benchmark	13.46%	43.51%	0.42%	-	0.05%	0.03%	0.01%	0.00%	12.95%

• Overall : This factor identifies the percentage value of revenue derived from activities that are eligible under the Taxonomy across all Taxonomy objectives covered.

Top constituents of the index by Overall Taxonomy Alignment

Company	Total Eligible Activity Revenue	Total Aligned Revenue	Total Likely Aligned Revenue	Total Potentially Aligned Revenue	Index Weight
GETLINK SE	99.00%	86.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	2.1%
TOTALENERGIES	9.90%	1.50%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	0.8%
GECINA	100.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	2.3%
KLEPIERRE	100.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	2.2%
ALSTOM	99.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	2.0%
STELLANTIS NV	99.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	0.9%
RENAULT	95.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	0.8%
ARCELORMITTAL SA	94.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	0.8%
UNIBAIL-RODAMCO-WE	90.30%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	2.2%
WORLDLINE	77.00%	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	2.1%

Top Eligible Activities of the Index (%)



CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index

Index	**CAC® Large 60 Responsible Investment SW Index (FR0013376258)**
Benchmark	**SBF® 120 (FR0003999481)**

EU Taxonomy Alignment - CapEx

Eligible Capital Expenditure Overview

This factor identifies all Taxonomy Eligible Capital Expenditure relating to the issuer in question, and the percentage figure of company capital expenditure associated with each Eligible activity. It then shows a granular breakdown for all of the results produced by the model at each technical step of the assessment proces (substantial contribution, do no significant harm and minimum social safeguards check). Finally, an overall alignment result is provided, aggregating the results from each individual step of the process.



	Activity Type	Eligible Revenue	Not Eligible Revenue	Aligned Revenue	Aligned Revenue (Y-1)	Likely Aligned Revenue	Potentially Aligned Revenue	Likely Not Aligned Revenue	Not Aligned Revenue	Alignment Not Collected
Overall	**Index**	**38.90%**	**48.86%**	**2.21%**	**-**	**0.00%**	**0.00%**	**0.00%**	**1.56%**	**35.13%**
	Benchmark	29.06%	57.50%	1.00%	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.45%	27.60%

• Overall : This factor identifies the percentage value of revenue derived from activities that are eligible under the Taxonomy across all Taxonomy objectives covered.

This publication is for information purposes only and is not a recommendation to engage in investment activities. This publication is provided "as is" without representation or warranty of any kind. Whilst all reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the content, Euronext does not guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Euronext will not be held liable for any loss or damages of any nature ensuing from using, trusting or acting on information provided. All proprietary rights and interest in or connected with this publication shall vest in Euronext. No part of it may be redistributed or reproduced in any form without the prior written permission of Euronext. Euronext refers to Euronext N.V. and its affiliates. Information regarding trademarks and intellectual property rights of Euronext is located at https://www.euronext.com/terms-use.
© 2023, Euronext N.V. - All rights reserved.