



# ESG Risk Rating Portfolio Report

Portfolio Information		ESG Risk Rating Coverage	
Name	Number of Constituents	By Portfolio Weight	By Number of Constituents
<b>Portfolio</b> OBX ESG	39	99%	38
<b>Benchmark</b> Oslo Børs Benchmark	69	99%	68

# Overview

Sustainalytics' ESG Risk Ratings are designed to help investors identify and understand financially material ESG risks at the security and portfolio level and how they might affect the long-term performance for equity and fixed income investments. The ESG Risk Ratings combined with qualitative analyses, provide a differentiated risk signal and deeper insights into the materiality of certain ESG issues for a company and how effectively the company is managing these issues.

Portfolio

Benchmark



## ESG Risk Rating (Unmanaged Risk)

Page 3-4

The ESG Risk Rating measures the degree to which a company's economic value is at risk driven by ESG factors, as assessed through Sustainalytics' calculation of the company's unmanaged ESG risks.

The portfolio's ESG risk is considered **Medium**, and is 13% lower than the benchmark.

### Overall Risk Rating

Score	Category
21.8	Medium
25.2	Medium



## Exposure

Page 5

Overall, the portfolio's exposure to ESG issues is 12% lower than the benchmark.

### Exposure

Score	Category
45.9	Medium
52.0	Medium



## Management

Page 6

Overall, the portfolio's management of ESG issues is 1% weaker than the benchmark.

### Management

Score	Category
55.4	Strong
56.0	Strong



## Other Analysis

Page 7

Sector and Region

Page 7

# ESG Risk Rating



## Overall Risk Rating (Unmanaged Risk)

The ESG Risk Rating measures the degree to which a company's economic value is at risk driven by ESG factors, as assessed through Sustainalytics' calculation of the company's unmanaged ESG risks. Companies are placed into one of five risk categories (negligible, low, medium, high, severe). These risk categories are absolute, meaning that a "high risk" assessment reflects a comparable degree of unmanaged ESG risk across the research universe, whether it refers to an agriculture company, a utility or any other type of company. One point of risk is the same, no matter which company or which issue it applies to, and points of risk add up across issues to create overall scores. Companies with lower Risk Ratings scores have lower ESG risk.

- Portfolio
- Benchmark
- Divergence

The portfolio's ESG risk is considered **Medium**, and is 13% lower than the benchmark.

### Overall Risk Rating

Score	Category
21.8	Medium
25.2	Medium

### ESG Risk Categories

#### Negligible: 0 - 10

Negligible risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

#### Low: 10 - 20

Low risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

#### Medium: 20 - 30

Medium risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors


#### High: 30 - 40

High risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

#### Severe: > 40 - 100

Severe risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

### ESG Risk Category by aggregate portfolio weight %



Average Score		ESG Risk Rating			
Arithmetic	Weighted	Median	Min.	Max.	Std. Dev.
20.8	21.8	20.6	9.7	31.1	5.5
24.5	25.2	24.0	9.3	44.8	8.1
-3.7	-3.4	-3.4	0.4	-13.8	-2.6

Average Percentile		ESG Risk Rating Percentile*			
Arithmetic	Weighted	Median	Min.	Max.	Std. Dev.
29.2	32.2	26.7	2.1	65.8	17.4
41.6	44.2	39.0	1.9	94.4	26.0
-12.4	-12.0	-12.4	0.2	-28.6	-8.5

\* Percentiles are based on positioning within the full research universe. A low percentile means low risk.

## Highest Risk Portfolio Constituents

Identifies the 10 constituents with the highest ESG Risk score in the portfolio

Company Name	Sector	ESG Risk				Weight		
		Score	Category	Exposure	Mgmt	Port	Bench	Active
Frontline Ltd	Energy	31.1	High	42.9	31.8	0.9%	0.5%	0.4%
Tomra Systems ASA	Industrials	29.4	Medium	46.4	38.2	3.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Lerøy Seafood Group ASA	Consumer Staples	28.1	Medium	55.3	52.5	2.5%	1.4%	1.2%
Golden Ocean Group Ltd	Industrials	26.9	Medium	36.7	29.9	1.2%	0.7%	0.5%
Kahoot! ASA	Information Technology	26.8	Medium	35.8	27.2	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%
Yara International ASA	Materials	26.6	Medium	60.9	62.6	5.4%	4.8%	0.6%
Bakkafrost P/F	Consumer Staples	26.4	Medium	52.1	52.8	3.5%	1.9%	1.6%
Borregaard ASA	Materials	25.8	Medium	52.4	56.3	1.9%	1.0%	0.9%
Kongsberg Gruppen ASA	Industrials	25.8	Medium	58.6	61.6	4.1%	2.2%	1.9%
Mowi ASA	Consumer Staples	25.7	Medium	55.1	57.2	10.4%	6.2%	4.2%

## Lowest Risk Portfolio Constituents

Identifies the 10 constituents with the lowest ESG Risk score in the portfolio

Company Name	Sector	ESG Risk				Weight		
		Score	Category	Exposure	Mgmt	Port	Bench	Active
Kitron ASA	Information Technology	9.7	Negligible	18.8	50.5	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Aker ASA	Financials	10.5	Low	22.2	53.5	1.9%	1.0%	0.9%
Schibsted ASA	Consumer Discretionary	11.0	Low	21.8	52.1	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%
Entra ASA	Real Estate	12.6	Low	27.9	55.9	0.9%	0.5%	0.4%
Fjordkraft Holding ASA	Utilities	14.1	Low	21.4	35.2	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%
Nordic Semiconductor ASA	Information Technology	14.8	Low	34.5	61.2	3.4%	1.8%	1.6%
Kid ASA	Consumer Discretionary	15.2	Low	23.5	37.8	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%
SpareBank 1 SR-Bank ASA	Financials	16.1	Low	35.8	57.6	2.3%	1.2%	1.1%
Europris ASA	Consumer Discretionary	16.3	Low	26.2	40.7	0.9%	0.5%	0.4%
DNB Bank ASA	Financials	16.5	Low	42.9	64.6	8.8%	10.0%	-1.3%



## ESG Risk Exposure

Exposure considers a company's sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks. Lower exposure scores indicate that the constituent companies face less ESG risk.

Portfolio

Benchmark

Divergence

### Exposure

Score	Category
45.9	Medium
52.0	Medium

### ESG Risk Categories

#### Low: 0 - 35

Low exposure to material ESG issues


#### Medium: 35 - 55

Medium exposure to material ESG issues

#### High: 55 - 100

High exposure to material ESG issues

### Distribution of aggregated portfolio weight by Exposure categories



The prevalence of companies with high exposure to ESG issues in the portfolio is 31% lower than the benchmark.

Average Exposure		Exposure Score			
Arith.	Weighted	Median	Minimum	Maximum	Std. Dev.
39.5	45.9	36.8	18.8	62.1	11.9
41.7	52.0	40.0	18.8	69.8	12.3
-2.3	-6.1	-3.2	0.0	-7.7	-0.4

### Companies with the Highest Exposure in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Exposure Score	Exposure Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
Norsk Hydro ASA	Materials	62.1	High	8.8%	5.9%	2.9%
Yara International ASA	Materials	60.9	High	5.4%	4.8%	0.6%
Kongsberg Gruppen ASA	Industrials	58.6	High	4.1%	2.2%	1.9%

### Companies with the Lowest Exposure in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Exposure Score	Exposure Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
Kitron ASA	Information Technology	18.8	Low	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
Fjordkraft Holding ASA	Utilities	21.4	Low	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%
Schibsted ASA	Consumer Discretionary	21.8	Low	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%



## ESG Risk Management

Management evaluates a company's performance on managing its exposure to ESG issues. Higher management scores indicate the constituent companies have stronger management of their exposure.

Portfolio

### Management

Score

Category



55.4

Strong

Benchmark



56.0

Strong

Divergence

### Distribution of aggregated portfolio weight by Management categories

#### ESG Risk Categories

##### Strong: 100 - 50


Strong management of material issues

##### Average: 50 - 25

Average management of material issues

##### Weak: 25 - 0

Weak management of material issues



Average Management		Management Score			
Arith.	Weighted	Median	Min	Max	Std. Dev.
49.6	55.4	52.0	26.0	73.9	11.3
43.8	56.0	43.7	15.1	76.7	14.3
5.8	-0.6	8.2	10.9	-2.8	-3.0

### Companies with the Weakest Management in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Management Score	Management Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
Bonheur ASA	Financials	26.0	Average	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%
Kahoot! ASA	Information Technology	27.2	Average	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%
Golden Ocean Group Ltd	Industrials	29.9	Average	1.2%	0.7%	0.5%

### Companies with the Strongest Management in the Portfolio

Company Name	Sector	Management Score	Management Category	Port Weight	Bench Weight	Active Weight
Norsk Hydro ASA	Materials	73.9	Strong	8.8%	5.9%	2.9%
DNB Bank ASA	Financials	64.6	Strong	8.8%	10.0%	-1.3%
Yara International ASA	Materials	62.6	Strong	5.4%	4.8%	0.6%

## Sector ESG Risk

Looks at the active weight and ESG Risk Rating score across sectors in the Portfolio. Lower scores equal lower risk.

Sector	ESG Risk			Weight		Exposure		Management	
	Portfolio Contribution*	Port	Bench	Port	Bench	Port	Bench	Port	Bench
Consumer Discretionary	1.8%	0.4	0.3	3.1%	2.7%	0.7	0.6	1.4	1.3
Consumer Staples	24.6%	5.4	4.1	20.9%	15.2%	11.2	8.2	11.7	8.2
Energy	5.8%	1.3	9.0	6.1%	29.1%	2.5	18.8	3.3	17.6
Financials	18.1%	3.9	3.1	22.4%	17.9%	8.6	7.1	12.5	10.3
Healthcare	0.0%	0.0	0.2	0.0%	0.6%	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1
Industrials	12.7%	2.8	3.0	10.2%	10.2%	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.3
Information Technology	7.7%	1.7	1.0	9.0%	5.3%	3.1	1.9	4.5	2.6
Materials	18.4%	4.0	2.9	17.2%	12.3%	10.4	7.5	11.6	8.3
Real Estate	0.5%	0.1	0.1	0.9%	0.5%	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.3
Telecommunication Services	8.7%	1.9	1.1	8.4%	4.9%	3.4	2.0	4.1	2.4
Utilities	1.6%	0.3	0.3	1.7%	1.2%	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.6

\*Sector contribution to overall ESG Risk calculated as the weighted sum of sector risk scores divided by the total portfolio weighted risk score.

## Regional ESG Risk

Looks at the active weight and ESG Risk Rating score across regions in the Portfolio. Lower scores equal lower risk.

Region	ESG Risk			Exposure		Management	
	Portfolio Contribution	Portfolio	Benchmark	Port	Bench	Port	Bench
Asia / Pacific	0.5%	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2
Europe	96.4%	21.0	24.6	44.7	51.1	54.3	55.3
Latin America and Caribbean	3.1%	0.7	0.5	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.6

# Glossary of Terms

## ESG Risk Category

Companies' ESG Risk Rating scores are assigned to five ESG risk categories in the ESG Risk Rating. Note that because ESG risks materialize at an unknown time in the future and depend on a variety of unpredictable conditions, no predictions on financial or share price impacts, or on the time horizon of such impacts, are intended or implied by these risk categories.

## ESG Risk Rating Score (Unmanaged Risk Score)

The company's final score in the ESG Risk Rating; it applies the concept of risk decomposition to derive the level of unmanaged risk for a company.

## Event Category

Sustainalytics categorizes events that have resulted in negative ESG impacts into five event categories: Category 1 (low impact); Category 2 (moderate impact); Category 3 (significant impact); Category 4 (high impact); and Category 5 (severe impact).

## Event Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a potential failure of management through involvement in controversies.

## Exposure

A company's sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks.

## Management

A company's handling of ESG risks.

## Management Gap

Refers to the difference between what a company has managed and what a company could possibly manage. It indicates how far the company's performance is from best practice.

## Material ESG Issue

A core building block of the ESG Risk Rating. An ESG issue is considered to be material within the rating if it is likely to have a significant effect on the enterprise value of a typical company within a given subindustry.

## Unmanaged Risk

Material ESG risk that has not been managed by a company, and includes two types of risk: unmanageable risk, as well as risks that could be managed by a company through suitable initiatives but which may not yet be managed.

## Weighted Scores

Sustainalytics normalizes the security weights of the matched security results within the selected portfolio and benchmark to equal 100% of the total portfolio weight, by evenly redistributing unmatched security weights. If there are no security weights available, Sustainalytics will assign equal weights to all securities. Weighted Scores are calculated by aggregating normalized security weighted scores.



## Notice and Disclaimer

Sustainalytics is not responsible for information supplied by you, regardless if derived from you or from a third party, provided for the purpose of this report and/or included herein and you bear all risks associated with the use and (re)distribution of such information. Moreover, Sustainalytics will not assume any responsibility for the reliability, completeness or accuracy of such information and makes no representation or warranty as to any of the information, including, without limitation, any representation or warranty that the information or any portion of it is accurate or complete. Sustainalytics shall have no liability hereunder for any use of the information provided by you. You agree and acknowledge that it is your responsibility to obtain all relevant licenses for use, reproduction, extraction and redistribution of any information made available to Sustainalytics.

Copyright © 2022 Sustainalytics. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies, data and opinions contained or reflected herein are proprietary of Sustainalytics and/or its third parties suppliers (Third Party Data), intended for internal, non-commercial use, and may not be copied, distributed or used in any way, including via citation, unless otherwise explicitly agreed in writing. They are provided for informational purposes only and (1) do not constitute an endorsement of any product or project; (2) do not constitute investment advice; (3) cannot be interpreted as an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project or make any kind of business transactions; (4) do not represent an assessment of the issuer's economic performance, financial obligations nor of its creditworthiness.

These are based on information made available by third parties, subject to continuous change and therefore are not warranted as to their merchantability, completeness, accuracy or fitness for a particular purpose. The information and data are provided "as is" and reflect Sustainalytics' opinion at the date of their elaboration and publication. Sustainalytics nor any of its third-party suppliers accept any liability for damage arising from the use of the information, data or opinions contained herein, in any manner whatsoever, except where explicitly required by law. Any reference to third party names or Third Party Data is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner. A list of our third-party data providers and their respective terms of use is available on our website. For more information, visit <http://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers>.

## About Sustainalytics

Sustainalytics is a leading independent ESG and corporate governance research, ratings and analytics firm that supports investors around the world with the development and implementation of responsible investment strategies. For over 25 years, the firm has been at the forefront of developing high-quality, innovative solutions to meet the evolving needs of global investors. Today, Sustainalytics works with hundreds of the world's leading asset managers and pension funds who incorporate ESG and corporate governance information and assessments into their investment processes. Sustainalytics also works with hundreds of companies and their financial intermediaries to help them consider sustainability in the policies, practices and capital projects. With 16 offices globally, Sustainalytics has more than 600 staff members, including over 200 analysts with varied multidisciplinary expertise across more than 40 industry groups. For more information, visit [www.sustainalytics.com](http://www.sustainalytics.com).